



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,055	04/18/2001	Masahide Hirasawa	B208-1132	9180
26272 7590 04/25/2008 COWAN LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN P.C. JOHN J TORRENTE 1133 AVE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036				
EXAMINER				
SHAW, PELING ANDY				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2144				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/25/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/837,055

Applicant(s)

HIRASAWA, MASAHIRO

Examiner

PELING A. SHAW

Art Unit

2144

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PELING A. SHAW.

(3) _____.

(2) John J. Torrente (reg. no. 26,359).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Seong (US 6785720 B1) and Johnson et al. (US 5584039 A).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant has gone through the claimed invention in light of specification and drawings. Applicant has further discussed IEEE 1394 and IEC 61883. Examiner has discussed the usage of CIP header with respect to the limitations as claimed. Applicant would look through the claim language and arguments to draw an official response to further the prosecution.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/P. A. S./

Examiner, Art Unit 2144

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required